REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES TO
PROJECT DEVELOPMENT COSTS IN A

FIFTH SUPPLEMENTAL REIMBURSEMENT TO
GLOBAL EARTHQUEST VENTURES, LP (DEVELOPER)

FROM PROCEEDS OF THE SERIES 2009 BOND SALE
DECEMBER 10, 2009

REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES TO

PROJECT DEVELOPMENT COSTS IN A

FIFTH SUPPLEMENTAL REIMBURSEMENT TO

GLOBAL EARTHQUEST VENTURES, LP (DEVELOPER)

FROM PROCEEDS OF THE SERIES 2009 BOND SALE

DECEMBER 10, 2009

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	SCHEDULE
INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES	
SCHEDULE OF PROJECT DEVELOPMENT COSTS REIMBURSEMENT TO GLOBAL EARTHQUEST VENTURES, LP (DEVELOPER)	A
SCHEDULE COMPARING ACTUAL REIMBURSABLE COSTS WITH PROJECT ESTIMATES	В

## McCALL GIBSON SWEDLUND BARFOOT PLLC

Certified Public Accountants

13100 Wortham Center Drive Suite 235 Houston, Texas 77065-5610 (713) 462-0341 Fax (713) 462-2708 E-Mail: mgsb@mgsbpllc.com 7801 N. Capital of Texas Hwy. Suite 350 Austin, Texas 78731-1169 (512) 418-2358 Fax: (512) 340-0604 www.mgsbpllc.com

December 10, 2009

Board of Directors
East Montgomery County
Improvement District
Montgomery County, Texas

Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Board of Directors of East Montgomery County Improvement District (the "District"), on the invoices and schedules submitted by Global Earthquest Ventures, LP (the "Developer") for a fifth supplemental payment from proceeds of the District's Series 2009 bonds. These procedures were performed solely to assist you in evaluating the reasonableness of those costs, and the report is not to be used for any other purpose.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the Board of Directors of the District. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose. The procedures performed are summarized as follows:

- A. We reviewed the use of proceeds schedule as prepared by the District's Financial Advisor for the bond issue. Our review was for the purpose of identifying those items authorized for District participation by the agreement and those items the District is specifically prohibited from purchasing.
- B. We reviewed for completeness certain Developer schedules, supporting invoices and contract estimates in substantiation of the costs to be reimbursed. Our review included all documentation supporting items, amounts and categories for which reimbursement is requested.
- C. We read the development and financing agreements for particular items that might affect the reimbursement. The agreements reviewed are referenced in our report.

- D. We reviewed payments with check copies and compared them to contract amounts submitted for consultants, and reviewed detail for expenses they submitted. We received confirmations from banks on the major contracts.
- E. A draft of this report was provided to the District's Attorney, Engineer, Financial Advisor, Bookkeeper, and Developer for their review and comments.
- F. We prepared this agreed-upon procedures report for the benefit of the District, which includes the Accountant's Report, schedule of amounts reimbursable to the Developer and a schedule summarizing the costs to be funded from the bond proceeds by category.

The attached Schedule A titled "Schedule of Project Development Costs Reimbursable to Global Earthquest Ventures, LP (Developer)," sets forth their reimbursable costs. A Memorandum of Understanding was executed on February 22, 2008 by and among the District, the For-Profit (FP) entity and the Not-For-Profit (NFP) entity. This reimbursement is in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Amended and Restated Agreement Regarding FP Pre-Development Expenses dated October 13, 2008, as amended by that First Amendment to Amended and Restated Agreement Regarding FP Pre-Development Expenses dated March 9, 2009 and that Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Agreement Regarding FP Pre-Development Expenses dated May 14, 2009 and that Third Amendment to Amended and Restated Agreement Regarding FP Pre-Development Expenses dated June 16, 2009 between Global Earthquest Ventures, LP and the District.

After our original report dated April 9, 2009, Global Earthquest Ventures, LP submitted additional invoices for supplemental payment under the agreement for reimbursement. In accordance with the agreement, the Developer has now submitted additional invoices in the amount of \$81,952.04. We have revised the schedule, based on the agreement, to a total reimbursable amount of \$64,348.62. The following changes have been made to the Developer's original schedule:

- A. We deducted expenses for a tax return prepared for the partnership in the amount of \$300 because it was not a reimbursable pre-development expense under the agreement.
- B. Computer support was deducted in the amount of \$947.19 because it was not a reimbursable pre-development expense under the agreement.
- C. We deducted business cards in the amount of \$237.82 because they were not a reimbursable pre-development expense under the agreement.
- D. Travel expenses in the amount of \$1,118.91 were deducted because they should have been allocated to other entities. The portion remaining is allocable to the District.
- E. We corrected an invoice for a survey, resulting in an increase of \$.50.

э						
	St					
	ā					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				W.	
e e	is a					
	(100)					×
8					ti	
						15
	(05.)				·	
* *		e .				
		: #0				
*	MITTO DA	~=		T 77 T 77 T		TTY
	THIS PAC	GE INTEN	TIONAL	LY LEI	T BLAN	NK
# <del>-</del>		<i>27</i>				
•						
						4
		*				
						60
		* ************************************				

F. We deducted a \$15,000 contribution to EQI because it was not a reimbursable predevelopment expense under the agreement.

The attached Schedule B reflects the amount of bond proceeds received, the amount being spent to date by category and the projected costs to be funded in the future. In addition to the bond amount, certain costs were submitted in substantiation of the original amount advanced by the Developer, and repaid by the District of \$350,000, which brings the total to the \$6,000,000 in the Development Agreement.

The reimbursable amount submitted to date totals \$5,650,000. The original report was reimbursed May 21, 2009 in the amount of \$3,314,486, the first supplemental was dated June 16, 2009 in the amount of \$1,383,037, the second supplemental was dated July 2009 in the amount of \$386,224, the third supplemental dated August 26, 2009 totaled \$388,864, the fourth supplemental dated October 8, 2009 totaled \$111,937, and the amount currently due is \$64,349. The reimbursable amount remaining under the agreement is \$1,103.

An additional one million dollars is due under the agreement that is not reimbursable. Additional amounts submitted will be reported to the Board periodically until the terms of the agreement have been met.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the aforementioned reimbursable costs. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Directors and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than this specified party. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited. This report should not be associated with the presentation of any financial data of the District except to comply with filing requirements as specified by the agreements.

McCALL GIBSON SWEDLUND BARFOOT PLLC

McCall Gran Swedland Borgoot PLIC

Certified Public Accountants

2009 SUPPLEMENTAL 1210 BOND REPORT

SCHEDULE OF PROJECT DEVELOPMENT COSTS
REIMBURSEMENT TO GLOBAL EARTHQUEST VENTURES, LP (DEVELOPER)
DECEMBER 10, 2009

# (SEE ACCOMPANYING DISCLAIMER OF OPINION AND EXPLANATION OF AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES PERFORMED)

DESCRIPTION	TOTAL REIMBURSABLE COST			
Cade Rhoden				
Move Zone Trailer				
Amount Paid by Developer	\$	1,000.00		
Contour Entertainment, Inc.				
Fundraising Plan				
Amount Paid by Developer		1,140.00		
Fed Ex				
Deliveries and Printing Offering Memos				
Amount Paid by Developer		10.93		
Locke Lord Bissel Liddell				
Legal Services - Agreements, Fundraising,				
IP issues, Government Relations				
Amount Paid by Developer		15,000.00		
Montgomery & Associates				
Survey for Economic Development Zone		2 207 50		
Amount Paid by Developer		2,207.50		
Richard E. Berritt				
Capital Markets Consultant				
Amount Paid by Developer		30,685.37		

SCHEDULE OF PROJECT DEVELOPMENT COSTS
REIMBURSEMENT TO GLOBAL EARTHQUEST VENTURES, LP (DEVELOPER)
DECEMBER 10, 2009

# (SEE ACCOMPANYING DISCLAIMER OF OPINION AND EXPLANATION OF AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES PERFORMED)

DESCRIPTION		TOTAL MBURSABLE COST
Staats Falkenbert Edit/Consult on Investor Presentations		
Amount Paid by Developer		\$ 2,543.82
Marlin Atlantis White, Ltd Travel Travel Expenses, including		
Airfare, Auto, Lodging Meals		
Amount Paid by Developer:		
John Marlin	\$ 2,419.93	
Shad Schmid	392.41	
Steve Maglisceau	 8,948.66	 11,761.00
TOTAL REIMBURSABLE TO GLOBAL		
EARTHQUEST VENTURES, LP		
AT DECEMBER 10, 2009		\$ 64,348.62

EAST MONTGOMERY COUNTY IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT SCHEDULE COMPARING ACTUAL REIMBURSABLE COSTS WITH PROJECT ESTIMATES DECEMBER 10, 2009

## (SEE ACCOMPANYING DISCLAIMER OF OPINION AND EXPLANATION OF AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES PERFORMED)

DESCRIPTION	PROJECT ESTIMATE (1)		REIMBURSED TO GLOBAL EARTHQUEST VENTURES, LP AT BOND CLOSING ON MAY 21, 2009		REIMBURSED TO GLOBAL EARTHQUEST VENTURES, LP AT JUNE 16, 2009		REIMBURSED TO GLOBAL EARTHQUEST VENTURES, LP AT JULY 9, 2009		REIMBURSED TO GLOBAL EARTHQUEST VENTURES, LP AT AUGUST 26, 2009	
PROJECT COSTS:										
Construction Fund for Project Costs (2)	\$	5,650,000	\$	3,314,486	s	1,383,037	_\$	386,224	\$	388,864
TOTAL PROJECT COSTS	\$	5,650,000	\$	3,314,486	s	1,383,037	\$	386,224	\$	388,864
BOND COSTS:										
Costs of Issuance Interest Costs Capitalized Interest (1) Debt Service Reserve Fund Bond Discount	\$	513,620 568,757 610,843 291,780	\$		s	-	\$		s	-
TOTAL BOND COSTS	\$	1,985,000	\$		<u>s</u>		\$			
TOTAL	s	7,635,000	<u>s</u>	3,314,486	s	1,383,037	s	386,224	s	388,864
(1) Project Estimate as submitted and as amended in the Official Statement.										
(2) Projects by Agreement Categories			A	amount by Category		Amount by Category		Amount by Category		Amount by Category
Planning and Design				1,800,288		367,185		247,406		56,787
Financial Package Development				125,250		107,415		21,939		26,328
Site Layouts				41,373		39,779		42,885		12,747
Consulting Fees				403,544		718,299		24,400		-
Legal Fees				444,031		150,359		49,594		70,712
Overhead and Payrol!				500,000		-		•		
Land Interest Per Agreement						1 202 200		*		222,290
				3,314,486		1,383,037		386,224		388,864

REIMBURSED TO GLOBAL EARTHQUEST VENTURES, LP AT OCTOBER 8, 2009	REIMBURSABLE TO GLOBAL EARTHQUEST VENTURES, LP AT DECEMBER 10, 2009	COSTS PAID BY DISTRICT	ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL COST TO COMPLETE	TOTAL ACTUAL AND ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL COST TO COMPLETE	ACTUAL OVER (UNDER) ESTIMATE	% VARIANCE OVER (UNDER) ESTIMATE
\$ 111,937 \$ 111,937	\$ 64,349 \$ 64,349	\$ - \$ -	<u> </u>	\$ 5,648,897 \$ 5,648,897	\$ (1.103) \$ (1,103)	0.00%
s -	\$ -	\$ 591,358 568,757 610,843	\$ 10,000	\$ 601,358 568,757 610,843	\$ 87,738 - -	17.00% 0.00% 0.00%
\$ - \$ 111,937	\$ - \$ 64,349	\$ 2,062,748 \$ 2,062,748	\$ 10,000 \$ 10,000	291,790 \$ 2,072,748 \$ 7,721,645	\$ 87,748 \$ 86,645	0.00%
Amount by Category  31,897 66,500 1,965 - 11,575 - 11,1937	Amount by Category 12,880 29,582 2,208 4,679 15,000 64,349			Total by Category  2,516,443 377,014 140,957 1,150,922 741,271 500,000 222,290 5,648,897		